PTO/SB/25 (10-99)

Approved for use through 9/30/2000. OMB 0651-0031
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER TO OBVIATE A PROVISIONAL DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION OVER A PENDING SECOND APPLICATION Docket Number (Optional) GJH-0017

In re Application of: Edward S. Ellis, et al.

Application No. 09/553,108

Filed: April 20, 2000

For: Low Sulfur Distillate Fuels

The owner*, ExxonMobil Research and Engineering Company, of 100 percent interest in the instant application hereby disclaims, except as provided below, the terminal part of the statutory term of any patent granted on the instant application which would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term of any patent granted on pending second Application Number 09/553,373, filed on April 20, 2000, the term being defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 to 156 and 173, as shortened by any terminal disclaimer filed prior to the grant of any patent on the pending second application. The owner hereby agrees that any patent so granted on the instant application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that it and any patent granted on the second application are commonly owned. This agreement runs with any patent granted on the instant application and is binding upon the grantee, its successors or assigns.

In making the above disclaimer, the owner does not disclaim the terminal part of any patent granted on the instant application that would extend to the expiration date of the full statutory term as defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 to 156 and 173 of any patent granted on the second application, as shortened by any terminal disclaimer filed prior to the patent grant, in the event that any such granted patent: expires for failure to pay a maintenance fee, is held unenforceable, is found invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, is statutorily disclaimed in whole or terminally disclaimed under 37 CFR 1.321, has all claims canceled by a reexamination certificate, is reissued, or is in any manner terminated prior to the expiration of its full statutory term as shortened by any terminal disclaimer filed prior to its grant.

Check either box 1 or 2 below, if appropriate.

For submissions on behalf of an organization (e.g., corporation, partnership, university, 1. government agency, etc.), the undersigned is empowered to act on behalf of the organization.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

2. \boxtimes The undersigned is an attorney of record.

November 30, 2001

01/08/2002 MWOLDER1 00000147 051330 09553108

02 FC:148 110.00 CH Gerard J. Hughes, Registration No. 41,855 Typed or printed name

 \boxtimes Terminal disclaimer fee under 37 CFR 1.20(d) included.

*Certification under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is required if terminal disclaimer is signed by the assignee (owner). Form PTO/SB/96 may be used for malting this certification. See MPEP § 324.

SUBJECT: DECISION ON TERMINAL DISCLAIMERS INFORMAL FORM APPL S.N.: 091 553/0 ART UNIT: TO EXAMINER: MAILROOM DATE 1-3-0 NUMBER OF T.D(S). FILED Instructions: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate AFTER FINAL YES form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next office action to notify applicant about the T.D. If you disagree with my analysis or have questions at all about the acceptability of the T.D., please see me or our Special Program Examiner. THIS MEMO IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE MAILED TO APPLICANT, NOR SHOULD A COPY BE IN LEFT IN FILE. WHEN YOUR OFFICE ACTION IS COMPLETED, YOU MUST INITIAL AND DATE & RETURN THIS MEMO TOME. The TD is PROPER and has been recorded. (See 14.23). $\mathbf{I'}$ The T.D. is NOTPROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below. (See 14.24). has not been submitted nor is there any pre authorization in the application file to charge I The recording fee of \$ To a deposit account (See 14.25). I] Application Examiner has not processed T.D. fee. (See fee authorization). I] The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated his/her interest (and/or The extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent. (See 14.26 and 14.26.1) I The TD. lacks the enforceable only during the common owership clause needed to overcome a double patenting rejectic Rule 321[c]. [See 14.27, 14.27.1]. I I It is directed to a particular claims(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be of a terminal portion of the ter of the entire patent to be granted. MPEP 1490. (See 14.26, 14.26.2). ${f I}$ ${f J}$ The person who signed the terminal disclaimer: I has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity, (See 14.28). I I is not recognized as an officer of the assignee, (See 14.29 and possibly 14.29.1). I] No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel of frame specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the office. 37.CFR 3.73(b). (See 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame may be found in the T.D. or in a seperate paper submitted by applicant (See 1430). I 7 No statement specifying that the evidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the assignee's Emowledge and belief the fille is in the assignee seeking to take action. 37 CFR 3.73(b). (See 1140 O.G. 72). I The TD. is not signed. (See 14.26, 14.26.3). I I Attorney not of record in oath/decl. or a seperate paper filed appointing a new or associate attorney. I The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting is mis orincorrect. (See 14.32). 1 The setal number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue case(s) being disclaimed is misor Incorrect. [See 14.26, 14.26 A or 14.26.5].

I 1 The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified. (See 14.27, 14.27.2 or 14.27.3).

II Other.